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ABSTRACT

Arrhythmia-induced cardiomyopathy (AIC) is a potentially reversible condition in which left ventricular dysfunction is induced or mediated by atrial or ventricular arrhythmias. Cellular and extracellular changes in response to the culprit arrhythmia have been identified, but specific pathophysiological mechanisms remain unclear. Early recognition of AIC and prompt treatment of the culprit arrhythmia using pharmacological or ablative techniques result in symptom resolution and recovery of ventricular function. Although cardiomyopathy in response to an arrhythmia may take months to years to develop, recurrent arrhythmia can result in rapid decline in ventricular function with development of heart failure, suggesting residual ultrastructural abnormalities. Reports of sudden death in patients with normalized left ventricular ejection fraction cast doubt on the complete reversibility of this condition. Several aspects of AIC, including specific pathophysiological mechanisms, predisposing factors, optimal therapeutic strategies to prevent ultrastructural changes, and long-term risk of sudden death remain unresolved and need further research. (J Am Coll Cardiol 2015;66:1714–28)
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**WHAT IS AIC?**

AIC is a condition in which atrial or ventricular tachyarrhythmias or frequent ventricular ectopy result in left ventricular (LV) dysfunction, leading to systolic HF (1,2). The hallmark of this condition is partial or complete reversibility once arrhythmia control is achieved. AIC can be classified into 2 categories: one in which arrhythmia is the sole reason for ventricular dysfunction (arrhythmia induced) and another in which the arrhythmia exacerbates ventricular dysfunction and/or worsens HF in a patient with concomitant heart disease (arrhythmia mediated) (3).

**EPIDEMIOLOGY**

The incidence and prevalence of AIC are uncertain, but AIC appears underrecognized. Atrial fibrillation (AF) is present in 10% to 50% of patients with HF; many patients with cardiomyopathy and AF have worsening symptoms and ventricular function solely due to poorly controlled ventricular rates (4). In 2 studies of adult patients with focal atrial tachycardia (AT), the incidence of AIC was 8.3% to 10% (5,6), whereas 28% had AIC in a pediatric multicenter study of atrial ectopic tachycardia (AET) (7). The incidence of AIC was 9% to 34% in patients with frequent premature ventricular complexes (PVCs) and/or non-sustained ventricular tachycardia referred for electrophysiological evaluation (8–10).

**PATHOPHYSIOLOGY, MECHANISMS, AND PREDISPOSING FACTORS**

**PATHOPHYSIOLOGY: INSIGHTS FROM ANIMAL MODELS.** Chronic rapid pacing has long been recognized to cause HF in animal models (11). LV remodeling and HF occur in a time-dependent and highly predictable manner, similar to the phenotype of AIC (12–18). Due to the rapidly progressive and predictable nature of pacing to induce cardiomyopathy, the natural history of LV remodeling and failure, neurohormonal activation and signaling, and cell viability and molecular pathways have been examined in detail. The Central Illustration shows the natural history and contributing cellular and molecular events in rapid pacing-induced cardiomyopathy and HF.

**LV REMODELING AND HF.** During the early phase (the first 3 to 7 days) of rapid pacing, LV dilation occurs, with a decline in LVEF (12–15). This early remodeling phase is not accompanied by compromises in cardiac output or systemic perfusion pressures. By the second week, LV dilation, a fall in LVEF, and elevations in central venous and pulmonary capillary wedge pressures and systemic vascular resistance ensue (12–17). Eventually HF develops.

Whereas initial manifestations are adaptive, sustained rapid pacing affects LV load-ejection relationships, specifically, the pre-load recruitable LV stroke-work relationship (17), the LV systolic wall stress-ejection relationship, and the slope of the LV end-systolic pressure-volume relationship (18). LV dilation and dysfunction are accompanied by a decline in intrinsic myocardial contractile function.

**NEUROHORMONAL ACTIVATION.** Rapid pacing in animals results in a predictable, time-dependent change in neurohormonal pathways and synthesis and release of bioactive peptides (19–25). The levels of plasma atrial natriuretic peptide and B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP) increase early, concomitant with LV dilation (19,21); eventually natriuretic peptide levels plateau or decrease, likely due to suppression of synthesis and increased degradation by endopeptidases. Another hallmark is activation of sympathetic pathways, resulting in norepinephrine spillover (20,21). Consistent with the phenotype of progressive LV failure, rapid pacing invariably causes activation of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (20,25). Other bioactive molecules activated and released include endothelin and inflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor alpha (24).

Whereas mediators of vasoconstriction are induced, mediators of vasodilation, such as the response to nitric oxide, become impaired (22,23). Several key aspects regarding these temporal changes in the neurohormonal/bioactive signaling pathways hold relevance. First, shifts in key neurohormonal pathways, such as the natriuretic peptides and the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system, likely reflect shifts in underlying LV functional status from a “compensated” to a “decompensated” state. Thus, neurohormonal measurements may serve as useful biomarkers for the progressive nature/status of AIC. Secondly, it is likely that stimulation of key pathways, such as the adrenergic and cytokine systems, contribute directly to myocardial dysfunction.

**CELL SIGNALING AND VIABILITY.** Under normal conditions, increased contraction frequency causes a progressive rise in myocardial contractile performance due to appropriate changes in Ca$^{2+}$ handling and in the myofilament response to Ca$^{2+}$. However, this positive force-frequency relationship is blunted...
with pacing-induced cardiomyopathy \((26)\), with accompanied prolongation of myocardial \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) transients and defects in \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) cycling \((27)\). These changes in the force-frequency relation and \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) handling are a manifestation of prolonged rapid pacing and are present when definable changes in LV systolic function have already occurred \((27-30)\). The summation of changes in \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\) handling results in fundamental defects in the excitation-contraction coupling process, leading to defects in absolute myocyte contractile function and inotropic responsiveness \((27-30)\).

In isolated myocyte preparations, intrinsic defects in the rate and extent of shortening, as well as defects in the rate of relaxation, have been identified as cardiomyopathy develops and progresses \((20,28,31)\). Changes in cellular growth and viability ensue \((32,33)\). Chronic rapid pacing induces several proapoptotic cascades \((34)\). LV dilation is not associated with an absolute increase in myocyte cross-sectional area but rather with lengthening of individual myocytes, with alterations in cytoskeletal architecture \((20,29,31,35)\). If these changes in myocyte function and viability
were placed in a temporal context, then LV myocyte remodeling (cell shape and architecture) is likely to occur early, followed by abnormalities in excitation-contraction and changes in cell viability.

**EXTRACELLULAR REMODELING.** Although remodeling occurs within the myocyte, robust changes also occur within the extracellular matrix (35,36). Specifically, there is a loss of normal fibrillar collagen content and distribution (35,36), which, in turn, initiates alterations in myocyte support and alignment within the LV wall. Concomitantly, the capacity of myocytes to bind to the extracellular matrix is diminished (35), suggesting that abnormalities in the extracellular matrix-integrin interface have occurred. Increased matrix metalloproteinase activity and expression contribute to loss of normal extracellular matrix support and architecture (37). Activation of matrix metalloproteinases precedes the defects in myocyte contractile function (37). These findings suggest that the likely mechanism for LV remodeling is loss of normal myocardial extracellular matrix structure, composition, and function.

**IMPLICATIONS OF ANIMAL STUDIES.** Chronic rapid pacing in animals causes dilated cardiomyopathy resembling clinical AIC. With rapid atrial pacing in pigs, an atrioventricular (AV) conduction pattern is maintained and ventricular dyssynchrony as the stimulus for adverse remodeling and HF is unlikely (12,13,20). However, rapid ventricular pacing, where myocardial dyssynchrony is inevitable, results in a more rapid and precipitous fall in LV systolic performance (14-17). Thus, the tachycardia stimulus is sufficient to drive the cardiomyopathy process, whereby electrical dyssynchrony would constitute an additive factor accelerating the process.

Phases and structural milestones that occur with chronic arrhythmias in animal models translate to clinical forms of AIC. Specifically, there is an adaptive/compensatory phase whereby LV dilation, extracellular matrix remodeling, and neurohormonal system activation occurs but severe LV dysfunction does not. This phase could be identified in patients by LV imaging and biomarker profiling and is followed by a phase in which LV dysfunction becomes manifest and associated with defects in excitation-contraction coupling and LV myocyte remodeling and dysfunction. This phase likely represents a critical transition when this process may not completely reverse, leading to neurohormonal activation accompanied by the full pathophysiological spectrum of HF.

Several challenges and limitations exist in translating animal data to humans. The time course for development of AIC and HF in patients with otherwise structurally normal hearts is usually months to years, which is quite different from the acute changes seen in pacing models. Some arrhythmias (e.g., AF and PVCs) may have mechanisms leading to AIC that are not explained fully by rapid-pacing animal models (10,38-40). Although ultrastructural changes may explain the initial development of AIC, the relationship between identifiable ultrastructural changes and the mechanisms by which cardiomyopathy develops are less clear. An overview of the current understanding of the relationship between arrhythmias and cardiomyopathy, from mechanisms to management and prognosis, is shown in Figure 1.

**ARRHYTHMIA AND PATIENT CHARACTERISTICS.** Arrhythmia characteristics contributing to AIC include arrhythmia type (Table 1), rate, duration, rhythm irregularity, persistence (5,41-43), and concomitant heart disease (44). An arrhythmia that is insidious, persistent, and well tolerated is more likely to result in AIC (5). Lack of persistent tachycardia from autonomic influences and resultant slower rates during sleep are likely to be the reasons that AIC is rare or nonexistent with inappropriate sinus tachycardia and postural orthostatic tachycardia syndrome (POTS), although the average heart rate can be >100 beats/min. There is no specific heart rate cutoff at which AIC develops. The rate is not well defined, may be age dependent, and is likely lower than initially suspected. Little is known about patient factors that increase vulnerability to AIC, but one report has indicated that patients with a homozygous deletion polymorphism in the angiotensin-converting enzyme (DD) gene had a higher propensity to develop AIC when faced with persistent tachycardia, suggesting a potential genetic link (45). In a longitudinal study of patients with high PVC burden, those who developed cardiomyopathy had wider PVC QRS width versus those who did not, suggesting baseline myocardial fiber disruption that portends an increased risk (46).

**CLINICAL FEATURES AND DIAGNOSIS**

The key diagnostic feature of AIC is the presence of a pathological tachycardia or persistent arrhythmia (PVC) in the presence of an otherwise unexplained cardiomyopathy. The relationship of arrhythmia to cardiomyopathy can be difficult to determine because an arrhythmia could exist for years before its recognition and before cardiomyopathy develops. Although a high index of clinical suspicion may point to subtle diagnostic clues, the condition may go unrecognized for years. The presentation can be late only after manifest systolic HF develops. Similarly, if
Persistent tachyarrhythmia or frequent ventricular ectopy (PVC)

- No known structural heart disease
- Underlying structural heart disease

Patient and arrhythmia characteristics

- Adverse cellular, cytoskeletal, neurohormonal, and proapoptotic changes (see Central Illustration)
- Other mechanisms (ventricular dyssynchrony, abnormal Ca²⁺ handling, etc.)

Development of left ventricular (LV) dysfunction, dilated cardiomyopathy, and heart failure – arrhythmia–induced cardiomyopathy (AIC)

- Suppression/elimination of arrhythmia by rate and/or rhythm control
- Heart failure therapy

Follow up:
1. Maintain sinus rhythm/strict rate control
2. Close surveillance to avoid arrhythmia recurrence
3. Continue beta-blockers/ACE inhibitors
4. Follow-up imaging (echo and/or MRI)

No evidence of persistent ultra-structural changes by echo or cardiac MRI

Persistent ultra-structural changes:
1. LV dimensions
2. Evidence for fibrotic changes in MRI
3. Development of LV hypertrophy

Good prognosis
- Close surveillance
- Continue beta-blockers/ACE inhibitors

No significant improvement in LV function — Not AIC

- Confirms diagnosis of AIC

Persistent tachyarrhythmias or frequent ventricular ectopy can lead to dilated cardiomyopathy and decompensated heart failure in patients with or without concomitant structural heart disease. Cellular and extracellular changes in response to the culprit arrhythmia have been identified (see Central Illustration), but specific pathophysiological mechanisms have not been well elucidated. Early recognition of the relationship of a culprit arrhythmia to cardiomyopathy and aggressive arrhythmia control results in improved symptoms, left ventricular (LV) ejection fraction, and functional status and helps establish the diagnosis of arrhythmia-induced cardiomyopathy (AIC). Cellular and extracellular ultrastructural changes can, however, persist and can contribute to a rapid decline in cardiac function with arrhythmia recurrence. Close surveillance is thus crucial to ensure good long-term prognosis. ACE = angiotensin-converting enzyme; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging; PVC = premature ventricular complex.

the arrhythmia is detected early but a nonaggressive approach is taken, progressive worsening of symptoms and insidious development of cardiomyopathy ensue. It can be difficult to determine whether an arrhythmia is the initiator or consequence of cardiomyopathy in a patient with tachycardia and HF. Thus, AIC raises a “chicken or egg” question (47). Frequently, the arrhythmia is considered secondary and is not treated effectively when, in fact, arrhythmia control is necessary for full recovery.

History, physical examination, and clinical investigations should focus on determining the etiology of cardiomyopathy. Specific patient characteristics may suggest a higher likelihood of AIC. Patients with AIC have a smaller LV end-diastolic diameter and mass index versus those with pre-existing dilated cardiomyopathy and concomitant tachyarrhythmia (48,49). Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (CMR) may help differentiate AIC from dilated cardiomyopathy. In a study of 27 patients with frequent PVCs and cardiomyopathy, 22 had improvement in LVEF following PVC suppression; 5 did not. Four of the 5 patients with LVEF that did not improve had evidence for late gadolinium enhancement, suggesting underlying scar (8). Campos et al. (49) compared patients with irreversible nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy, reversible PVC-mediated cardiomyopathy, and patients with PVCs and normal LVEF who underwent electroanatomic mapping. These investigators noted that those with irreversible
Arrhythmia-induced cardiomyopathy had a significantly larger percentage of the LV endocardium with abnormal unipolar voltage (64% vs. 5.2% for the reversible group and 0.1% for the normal LVEF group). An abnormal unipolar voltage area ≥32% of LV endocardium predicted the irreversibility of cardiomyopathy with >95% sensitivity and specificity, but prospective validation is lacking (49).

Serial assessment of the N-terminal pro-BNP (NT-proBNP) ratio (NT-proBNP at baseline/NT-proBNP during follow-up) can differentiate AIC from irreversible dilated cardiomyopathy. Forty patients with AF or atrial flutter with ventricular rates >100 beats/min and LVEF <40% were cardioverted, and NT-proBNP was measured on day 1 and weekly for 4 weeks. An NT-proBNP ratio cutoff >2.3 at 1 week, suggesting rapid decline in NT-proBNP levels, was associated with reversible cardiomyopathy, with an accuracy of 90% (50).

When AIC cannot be easily differentiated from dilated cardiomyopathy with consequent tachycardia, treatment for both problems becomes necessary (2). The diagnosis may be evident only after restoration and maintenance of sinus rhythm or after aggressive rate control.

**PRINCIPLES OF MANAGEMENT**

AIC management should focus on concerted attempts to eliminate or control the arrhythmia, with the goal of improving symptoms, reversing LV dysfunction, and preventing arrhythmia recurrence (1,5,43,51,52). A favorable response to arrhythmia elimination/ control establishes the diagnosis of AIC. Along with arrhythmia control, use of neurohormonal antagonists can result in favorable ventricular remodeling. Controversy remains about the need to continue these medications if there is complete recovery of LVEF with arrhythmia control.

**AIC ASSOCIATED WITH SPECIFIC ARRHYTHMIAS IN ADULTS**

**ATRIAL FIBRILLATION.** AF is the most common cause of AIC in adults (1,43). Of patients presenting with HF, 10% to 50% have AF (4,53), and many such patients likely have a component of AIC. The mechanisms underlying development or progression of cardiomyopathy in patients with persistent AF have not been elucidated clearly (39). Rapid heart rates, loss of atrial contraction, and rhythm irregularity may contribute (1,39,40,54). Persistent tachycardia can impair myocardial contractility, either directly or through alterations in cellular and neurohormonal mechanisms. Resting tachycardia, as well as a rapid increase in heart rate with exercise, can impair diastolic filling. Lack of atrial contribution to ventricular filling can further worsen diastolic function. A vicious cycle can thus develop, with HF and associated increases in left-sided filling pressures and functional mitral regurgitation resulting in mechano-electrical changes in the left atrium, perpetuating AF and cardiomyopathy (54).

Management of AF consists of rate and/or rhythm control. In those with AF and cardiomyopathy, the ideal target heart rate remains uncertain. For those with permanent AF, lenient rate control (resting heart rate <110 beats/min) has been advocated over strict rate control (resting heart rate <80 beats/min and heart rate with moderate exercise <110 beats/min) (55). However, in the RACE II (Rate Control Efficacy in Permanent Atrial Fibrillation II) trial (55), most patients were rate controlled before enrollment (baseline heart rate 96 beats/min) and were asymptomatic without cardiomyopathy. Follow-up was short, and development of AIC was not specifically considered.

Several methods are available for AF rate control (56). Achieving adequate rate control may require drug combinations and frequent regimen changes (57). However, these data may not directly apply to AF-mediated AIC because rate irregularity may contribute to symptoms and facilitate development of AIC (40). Although AV nodal ablation with pacemaker implantation can provide effective rate control (58) and regularize the rate, this strategy changes ventricular activation, even if cardiac resynchronization therapy is used; therefore, it should only be considered if rhythm and/or rate control cannot be

### Causes of Arrhythmia-Induced Cardiomyopathy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supraventricular</td>
<td>Atrial fibrillation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Atrial flutter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Atrial tachycardia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AV nodal re-entrant tachycardia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AV re-entrant tachycardia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Permanent junctional reciprocating tachycardia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Junctional ectopic tachycardia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventricular</td>
<td>Idiopathic ventricular tachycardia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fascicular tachycardia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ectopy</td>
<td>Frequent premature ventricular contractions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frequent premature atrial contractions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacing</td>
<td>Persistent rapid atrial and/or ventricular pacing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted with permission from Gopinathannair et al. (2).

AV = atrioventricular.
established. In contrast, electrical or pharmacological cardioversion, antiarrhythmic drugs, and catheter ablation can achieve rhythm control. In AF-mediated cardiomyopathy, restoration and maintenance of sinus rhythm can hasten clinical recovery and reverse cardiomyopathy over several months (1,40,59).

The AF-CHF (Atrial Fibrillation and Congestive Heart Failure) trial randomized 1,376 patients with AF (70% persistent) and HF to either a rhythm control (amiodarone with cardioversion) or a rate control strategy. The mean LVEF was 27% and patients were followed for 37 months. A rhythm control strategy using antiarrhythmic drugs did not improve all-cause mortality or prevent worsening HF. However, the AF-CHF patient population may not fully represent the AIC population because 40% of patients in the rate control arm were in sinus rhythm during follow-up (60). The CAFÉ-II (Controlled Study of Rate Versus Rhythm Control in Patients With Chronic AF and Heart Failure) study randomized 61 patients with persistent AF (median duration of 14 months) and moderate LV dysfunction to a rate control and rhythm control strategy (amiodarone with cardioversion). During a follow-up of 1.2 years, 66% of patients maintained sinus rhythm, whereas 90% achieved target rate control (<80 beats/min at rest and <110 beats/min with 6-min walk). Rhythm control was superior to rate control in improving LV function, pro-BNP levels, and quality of life (61). Attempts to control rate might not be as aggressive or carefully monitored in the real world as in clinical trials. It is also possible that side effects and proarrhythmic risks from antiarrhythmic drugs may offset any salutary effects from restoring and maintaining sinus rhythm (62).

Restoring and maintaining sinus rhythm by catheter ablation of AF can improve and reverse AIC (63,64). Pulmonary vein isolation appears superior to AV node ablation and biventricular pacing in patients with drug-refractory AF and HF (64). A systematic review of 19 studies (n = 914) evaluating AF ablation in patients with concomitant LV dysfunction showed that sinus rhythm was maintained in 57% after a single procedure, with an increase to 82% with >1 procedure and/or use of antiarrhythmic drugs (65). LVEF increased by 13.3% (95% CI: 11% to 16%), suggesting the effectiveness of catheter ablation for maintaining sinus rhythm and reversing AIC.

The recent AATAC-AF (Ablation vs. Amiodarone for Treatment of Atrial Fibrillation in Patients With Congestive Heart Failure and an Implanted ICD/CRTD) trial randomized 203 patients with persistent AF with HF and cardiomyopathy (LVEF <40%) to either amiodarone or catheter ablation. During a 24-month follow-up, 70% of patients in the ablation arm were free of AT/AF (vs. 34% in the amiodarone arm [p < 0.001]) and had significant improvements in mortality, hospitalization rates, and quality of life. LVEF improved 9.6 ± 7.4% in the ablation arm versus 4.2 ± 6.2% in the amiodarone arm (p < 0.01) (66). Catheter ablation thus offers an effective rhythm control strategy and avoids potentially toxic long-term antiarrhythmic therapy. The available data argue for catheter ablation as the best choice for rhythm control in patients with AF-mediated AIC.

**ATRIAL FLUTTER.** Atrial flutter is more difficult to treat than AF, given less concealed conduction into the AV node. Therefore, despite intense efforts at pharmacological rate control, minimal exertion can lead to rapid ventricular rates. Given the inherent difficulty with rate control and the high success rate and low risk of complications with catheter ablation (67,68), ablation to eliminate atrial flutter is recommended when AIC is suspected. For those in whom catheter ablation is not feasible or desired, cardioversion with antiarrhythmic therapy or aggressive rate control should be used.

**SUPRAVENTRICULAR TACHYCARDIAS.** Persistent supraventricular tachycardias can result in AIC by several mechanisms (5). Near simultaneous AV relationships can have a negative hemodynamic effect. A curative strategy by catheter ablation should be pursued whenever possible as first-line therapy for supraventricular tachycardia-mediated AIC. Successful catheter ablation can normalize LVEF and is usually associated with excellent long-term outcomes (5,6).

**PVCs AND VENTRICULAR TACHYCARDIA.** Idiopathic ventricular tachycardia and, more commonly, frequent PVCs can lead to AIC in patients without structural heart disease and can exacerbate cardiomyopathy in patients with structural disease (10,52,69,70). PVCs associated with cardiomyopathy usually arise in the right or left ventricular outflow tract, but PVCs from non-outflow tract sites can also result in AIC (10,46,52).

The mechanism of PVC-mediated AIC is not fully understood. A large animal model using a pacing protocol to simulate paroxysmal PVCs produced an AIC phenotype that resolved completely within 2 to 4 weeks after pacing cessation. Tissue analysis did not show evidence of inflammation, fibrosis, or apoptosis, suggesting that PVC-induced cardiomyopathy in structurally normal hearts could be a functional abnormality (38). Potential mechanisms postulated include ventricular dysynchrony, especially related to a left bundle branch block PVC morphology, abnormal Ca²⁺ handling from the short coupling...
intervals, and abnormal ventricular filling from the post-PVC pause (10,37,38).

The development of cardiomyopathy with atrial premature depolarizations (71) and the absence of convincing site-specific association in PVC-mediated AIC suggest that it may not be a simple matter of LV dyssynchrony. The causal relationship between PVCs and AIC has been firmly established on the basis of reversal of the cardiomyopathy with suppression and/or elimination of PVCs (72,73).

The most prominent predictor of cardiomyopathy in patients with frequent PVCs appears to be the daily burden of PVCs. A high PVC burden has been variably defined as ranging from >10,000 to 25,000 PVCs/day and as >10% to 24% of total heartbeats/day (74–76). There appears to be a threshold burden of approximately 10,000 PVCs/day for developing AIC. Ventricular function can improve if the PVC burden is reduced to <5,000/day (72). This is an important target when elimination of all PVCs may not be possible, especially in the setting of multiform PVCs.

Retrospective studies suggest multiple potential patient and PVC characteristics associated with the development of AIC (9,10,74,77,78). These characteristics include male sex, increased body mass index, asymptomatic PVCs, higher PVC coupling interval dispersion, interpolated PVCs, and presence of retrograde P waves (10,77–80). In a study of patients with high PVC burden followed for 14 months, 17 (38%) developed AIC. A PVC QRS duration >153 ms and non-outflow tract origin predicted development of AIC (46). Importantly, most patients with frequent PVCs will not develop cardiomyopathy (76). Currently, although an important goal of future investigation, no risk profile defines a group of patients requiring prophylactic PVC elimination to prevent AIC. However, it is advisable for patients with a high PVC burden to have periodic echocardiographic assessments to confirm stable LV chamber size and function.

Therapy for PVC-mediated AIC should be targeted at suppressing or eliminating the PVCs and should include antiarrhythmic therapy and catheter ablation. Beta-blockade and non-dihydropyridine calcium channel blockade are low-risk therapies, but they have limited effectiveness. Beta-blockers are frequently considered first-line treatment because of the benign nature of the treatment. Dofetilide, mexiletine, sotalol, or amiodarone may be more effective, although they have greater risk of side effects and proarrhythmia. Antiarrhythmic drug use is frequently reserved for patients who fail or are reluctant to undergo catheter ablation.

Catheter ablation has emerged as the definitive therapy for PVC-mediated AIC, with success rates ranging from 70% to 90% (52). Elimination of PVCs with ablation has been shown to improve LVEF, ventricular dimensions, mitral regurgitation, and functional status. In an observational series, ablation was superior to antiarrhythmic therapy in reducing PVCs and improving LVEF (51). Successful ablation of PVCs can improve the efficacy of cardiac resynchronization therapy in nonresponders (81). The elimination of high PVC burden (>10%) in patients with impaired LVEF can be associated with improvement of function, even when structural cardiac abnormalities are present (72,73,82).

**MANAGEMENT IN ADULTS—SUMMARY.** Our approach to manage patients with suspected AIC is to attempt careful and aggressive control of rate and rhythm, with the focus on arrhythmia elimination by catheter ablation whenever possible. The only tachyarrhythmias that do not appear to require aggressive treatment to prevent AIC are sinus tachycardia and POTS. Continued therapy with neurohormonal antagonists is advisable for favorable remodeling, although the duration of such therapy is not well defined (83).

**AIC IN CHILDREN**

In children, dilated cardiomyopathy is the most common reason for heart transplant (84). Nearly 40% of children with cardiomyopathy undergo heart transplant or die within 2 years of presentation (85). AIC must be considered in this setting. In the largest pediatric series of AIC, AET (59%) and permanent junctional reciprocating tachycardia (PJRT; 23%) were the most common arrhythmias represented. Ventricular arrhythmias were uncommon (86).

Tachyarrhythmias are a reversible cause of cardiomyopathy from fetal life onward (87–90). Children often present late because they fail to recognize palpitations or are unable to verbalize symptoms and come to medical attention only after the development of HF. Compared with adults, different arrhythmia mechanisms are represented in pediatric AIC.

Supraventricular arrhythmias are more common than ventricular arrhythmias in children and therefore are more frequently associated with AIC. In the newborn, a single, sustained episode of typical supraventricular tachycardia may be unrecognized until HF symptoms emerge; thus, neonates may present with decreased LV function, or even shock. In this group, prognosis and recovery of cardiac function are excellent after control of supraventricular tachycardia. This is in contrast to the more incessant
tachycardias, for which control is more challenging and recovery less rapid.

Etiologically, sustained rapid rates, QRS duration, AV dys synchrony, and heart rate irregularity all could contribute to AIC, yet not all children with incessant tachycardia develop AIC. In children, the tachycardias most often associated with AIC have a narrow QRS complex and 1:1 AV conduction. Heart rate irregularity occurs in pediatric AIC as salvos of tachycardia interspersed with periods of sinus rhythm, rather than as the persistent heart rate irregularity seen in AF.

As evident in many cardiac conditions, genetic factors may underlie the development of AIC. Serum- and glucocorticoid-regulated kinase 1 (SGK1), a component of the cardiac phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase signaling pathway has proarrhythmic effects and has been linked to biochemical and functional changes in the cardiac Na$^+$ channel. These effects are reversed by treatment with ranolazine, which blocks the late Na$^+$ current (91). Conversely, inhibition of SGK1 in the heart protects against fibrosis, HF, and Na$^+$ channel alterations after hemodynamic stress. One might speculate that an underlying channelopathic process is unmasked by a tachycardia or that genetic factors are responsible for both arrhythmic and myopathic outcomes. Recent advancement in our understanding of channelopath ic conditions and the overlapping of arrhythmic and myopathic phenotypes seen in some patients lends credibility to this theory (92–95). Mutations in the cardiac Na$^+$ channel and in the ryanodine receptor, long known to be involved in arrhythmogenesis, can now be linked to abnormalities of contractile function (92).

**Pediatric Arrhythmias Associated with AIC.**

**Atrial ectopic tachycardia.** AET is the most common arrhythmia associated with AIC in children (96). Although P-wave morphology and axis usually differ from sinus rhythm, AET foci near the sinus node are hard to differentiate from sinus tachycardia, especially in patients with HF, when tachycardia is expected. Increased automaticity is the most likely mechanism; others include triggered activity and micro-reentry (97–100). AET usually occurs without structural heart disease but has been described after congenital heart disease surgery (100) and in the setting of channelopathies (101).

In a multicenter study of 249 children with AET, 28% had AIC (7). The cardiomyopathy varied from asymptomatic mild LV dysfunction to the need for HF medications in 52%; 3 required extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (7). Multiple antiarrhythmic medications or combinations of medications were used, with beta-blockers being the most common first-line therapy. No trends emerged to define the most successful medication. Catheter ablation was effective in 81% of patients in whom it was used. The use of electroanatomic mapping for ablation improved success (102) and decreased recurrence (103).

Spontaneous resolution of AET can occur, especially in those presenting within the first year of life, where 74% had resolution (7). Ablation proved safe and effective, but the investigators suggested a trial of medical therapy in the youngest patients, in whom ablation may have more risk (104,105) and when spontaneous resolution is more likely.

**Permanent junctional reciprocating tachycardia.** PJRT is an accessory pathway-mediated tachycardia with a long RP interval that occurs predominantly in infants and children. The pathway can be located anywhere in the AV junction but is usually posteroseptal (106). Pathways are tortuous and slow conducting; thus, tachycardia is often incessant.

In a recent review, 27% of patients with PJRT presented in fetal life, 7% with hydrops, a fetal manifestation of AIC (107). Isolation of the AV junction is a continuing process that may not be complete at birth, and the presence of accessory connections crossing the annulus fibrosis could result in persistent perinatal supraventricular tachycardia. Although most children with PJRT present with palpitations or are noted to have rapid heart rates, 18% presented with AIC in a series of 194 children (107). Cardiomyopathy is more likely to occur in children with longer RP interval/cycle length ratios, consistent with an accessory pathway with slow retrograde conduction and a wide, excitable gap (108). PJRT is often incessant, and those with incessant PJRT had longer RP intervals, were younger at diagnosis, and more often had AIC (107). The clinical course of PJRT is not benign, and spontaneous resolution is unlikely (107).

A number of antiarrhythmic medications are used, but a single most effective agent has not emerged (107). Beta-blockers are the common first choice, likely reflecting physician comfort, rather than proven efficacy. Complete tachycardia suppression with medications varies from 25% in the recent series (107) to >80% in a study using regimens that included amiodarone (109). Medical therapy is commonly used in neonates and infants, whereas older children undergo ablation. Catheter ablation is the primary treatment for PJRT, with reported success rates of 90% (107). Thus, the role for medical therapy is limited to the neonate and small infant as a temporary measure to allow for the rare patient with spontaneous resolution, to suppress the tachycardia, and...
to prevent AIC and allow time and growth before undertaking catheter ablation.

**Junctional ectopic tachycardia.** Junctional ectopic tachycardia (JET), most commonly seen in small children following congenital heart surgery (110), is due to abnormal automaticity in the region of the AV junction. JET unassociated with cardiac surgery can present at any age, and congenital JET, presenting in infancy, is associated with high morbidity and mortality (110–112). In an early study, mortality was 34%, with sudden death occurring in infants (113). In a multicenter study of nonoperative JET, overall mortality was low, with all deaths occurring in children ≤6 months of age (114). Although only 16% presented with HF, the tachycardia was incessant in >40%. JET can be incessant or paroxysmal, although infants ≤6 months of age are more likely to have incessant tachycardia.

Medical management is commonly undertaken (89%) as the first step but was variably effective, with a variety of drugs used. Beta-blockers were the most common first-line agent, but the majority required ≥2 drugs for control, with complete suppression seen in only 11%. Amiodarone alone or in combination was cited as the most effective for rate or rhythm control. Catheter ablation for JET can be accomplished with the preservation of AV nodal conduction (115,116), and cryoablation has been associated with success rates similar to those of radiofrequency ablation but without AV block (114,117).

**Ventricular tachycardia and PVCs.** Although rare in children, ventricular tachycardia can result in AIC. Incessant ventricular tachycardia of infancy occurs in association with ventricular Purkinje cell tumors or histiocytoid or lymphocytoid tumors (118–121). Both left and right ventricular tachycardias can result in pediatric AIC (86), and there are reports of PVC-induced AIC in children (122,123). The burden of ectopy needed, or other features associated with AIC risk, have not been defined.

**AIC IN CHILDREN—SUMMARY.** Tachyarrhythmias resulting in AIC in children differ from those in the adult. There is a predictable pattern of resolution with treatment, and although previous small reports have described weeks to months for functional recovery and years for reverse remodeling, the median time to recovery in a larger study was <2 months (86). Recovery seems independent of treatment strategy (ablation vs. medical therapy). Recovery is predictable following arrhythmia control, and failure of recovery should instigate a search for factors, such as subclinical arrhythmia recurrence or an underlying cardiomyopathy.

**RECOVERY, PROGNOSIS, AND IMPACT OF RECURRENT ARRHYTHMIA ON AIC**

Clinical and animal studies have documented the resolution of signs and symptoms of HF and recovery of LV dysfunction with termination of culprit arrhythmia. In several large animal models of pacing-induced cardiomyopathy, cessation of pacing resulted in significant improvements in LV function and a relative normalization of neurohormonal systems (13,32,36,124,125). For example, LV volumes and systolic performance progress toward normalcy and biomarker measurements of renin-angiotensin activation fall sharply by 7 to 14 days following cessation of rapid pacing. Clinically, studies have shown that recovery from AIC usually happens over weeks to several months following arrhythmia suppression (1,9,52,83).

In 75 patients with PVC-mediated cardiomyopathy with successful catheter ablation, LVEF normalized in 5 ± 6 months, with 68% recovering by 4 months (9). A similar time course to recovery of LVEF was seen in another study of 24 patients with AIC (predominantly from AT) (1). Elimination of PVCs in patients with AIC resulted in progressive improvement in LVEF and clinical profile, irrespective of underlying structural heart disease (82).

Although AIC was originally considered a completely reversible form of cardiomyopathy, several observations have cast doubt on whether the improvement in LVEF in AIC really means “cure.” Whether myocardial structure and function fully normalize remains unresolved. Resolution of HF and recovery of LVEF may not imply normalization of LV structure and function. Identifying predictors of recovery has therefore been of great interest. Early improvement (>25% improvement in 1 week) of LVEF following catheter ablation was predictive of complete normalization in LVEF during long-term follow-up (126). In a study of 69 patients with AIC from outflow tract PVCs, significant PVC suppression (>80% reduction in PVC burden and always <5,000 PVCs/day) showed LVEF improvement comparable to complete elimination (72). Long-standing and persistent AIC prior to recognition and curative therapy of the culprit arrhythmia could result in irreversible adverse LV remodeling and can limit complete recovery (83). Another major factor affecting recovery and outcomes is the effect of recurrent arrhythmia. In a study of 24 patients with AIC and New York Heart Association functional class III/IV HF, the median time from onset of arrhythmia to cardiomyopathy and development of HF was 4.2 years. As one would
expect, aggressive rate and/or rhythm control of the culprit arrhythmia resulted in significant improvement in LVEF and resolution of HF in all patients within 6 months. Five of 24 patients developed recurrent arrhythmia, and all had a rapid decline in LVEF (within 6 months of arrhythmia recurrence) with recurrence of HF, which was again reversed with aggressive arrhythmia control.

In 69 patients with AF and LVEF <40% who underwent catheter ablation, those who maintained sinus rhythm (65%) had complete recovery of LVEF and maintained normal LVEF after 28 ± 11 months of follow-up, compared with those who had recurrent AF/AT. Interestingly, recovery of LVEF at 6 months was similar in both groups, but further improvement was seen only in the sinus rhythm group (40). An example of a patient with recurrent AF and AIC is shown in Table 2, where the time course of LVEF changes in relation to recurrence of AF is apparent. These data demonstrate that AIC is reversible if the culprit arrhythmia is controlled, but recurrence of the arrhythmia can result in HF and an abrupt decline in LVEF (1).

In patients with AIC who have had resolution of arrhythmia, echocardiographic evaluations noted persistently elevated stroke volumes and LV end-systolic and end-diastolic volume indexes, suggesting persistent negative remodeling (127). In patients with AIC from focal AT who had normalization of LVEF with successful ablation, CMR scanning 5 years after ablation showed higher LV end-diastolic volumes and evidence for diffuse fibrosis compared with patients with focal AT without AIC and with healthy controls (128).

Current evidence thus suggests that myocardial structural and functional impairment can persist in patients with AIC, even after successful elimination of the causal arrhythmia and normalization of LVEF and symptoms, suggesting that recovery is incomplete at an ultrastructural level. The “recovery” from AIC perhaps represents a transition to another form of LV remodeling and dysfunction. The mechanisms underlying these abnormalities, and whether patterns of recovery from AIC differ among different arrhythmias, require further study.

**RISK OF SUDDEN DEATH**

Long-term survival of patients with AIC following arrhythmia resolution is likely; however, concerns remain. Sudden cardiac death has been reported in patients with AIC following symptom recovery and LVEF normalization (1,6,129). Nerheim et al. (1) reported 3 patients with AF-mediated AIC who died suddenly during follow-up while asymptomatic and having preserved LVEF and good functional status. Two patients were on adequate rate control and the third was on rhythm control. All 3 had index LVEFs that were markedly lower than those in the rest of the study group (n = 24), suggesting a greater risk in patients with severe baseline LV dysfunction (1). Available reports thus have suggested that certain patients with AIC could be at risk for sudden cardiac death, despite normalization of LVEF. However, the true risk of sudden death in patients with AIC, especially following arrhythmia suppression and LVEF recovery, is likely low, and there is no present justification for implantable cardioverter-defibrillator (ICD) insertion in patients with reversible cardiomyopathy (on the basis of improvement in LVEF).

Close surveillance, as well as continuation of neurohormonal antagonists to aid positive remodeling, is warranted in AIC, even after arrhythmia suppression and normalization of LVEF. A follow-up CMR for assessment of residual fibrosis/scar could provide valuable prognostic information (128). Arrhythmia recurrence can be devastating (1,6,129).

**FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

Since the original observations by Philips and Levine in 1949 of the association between rapid AF and a reversible form of HF (130), AIC has become a
recognized disease entity. Diligent basic and clinical investigations have shed light on the etiology, pathophysiology, clinical features, treatment, and prognosis of AIC. However, several gaps in our understanding still exist. The true incidence and prevalence of AIC need to be defined. Future research should focus on specific pathophysiological mechanisms for cardiomyopathy in relation to a particular arrhythmia. Identifying clinical, genetic, and demographic characteristics that predispose a particular individual to AIC in the setting of a culprit arrhythmia will be crucial for early recognition of this disease process, before cardiomyopathy develops. Questions still exist concerning optimal therapeutic strategies: Is rhythm control really better than rate control for improving long-term outcomes in AF-mediated AIC? How can we best prevent residual ultrastructural abnormalities? What are the interrelationships between structural heart disease, arrhythmia, and risk of sudden death?

THE “BIG PICTURE”: CLINICAL AND GLOBAL HEALTH IMPACT OF AIC

Heart failure is increasing globally. Tachyarrhythmias and frequent PVCs remain an important cause of nonischemic cardiomyopathy and manifest HF that is partially or completely reversible with control of the arrhythmia. However, this condition remains under-recognized, often leading to worsening HF and deterioration of LV function. Given that limited therapeutic options exist for patients with advanced HF, the ability to detect a potentially reversible cause is critical. Raising awareness about this condition globally is paramount.

CONCLUSIONS

AIC can affect patients of any age and has a wide range of clinical manifestations, from asymptomatic tachycardia or ectopy to cardiomyopathy to end-stage HF. Early recognition is critical, and aggressive treatment aimed at controlling or eliminating the inciting arrhythmia results in symptom resolution and recovery of ventricular function. However, cellular and extracellular ultrastructural changes can persist and can contribute to a rapid decline in cardiac function with arrhythmia recurrence, as well as confer a risk of sudden cardiac death. Thus, this supposedly “benign” and “reversible” condition poses unique challenges to the practicing cardiologist. Novel approaches for early detection, as well as targeted intervention, are warranted and may ultimately improve long-term outcomes for these patients.
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